



Joshua Newell

Supervisors: Prof. Uta Klein, Dr Jan Kretzschmar, Dr Ludovica Aperio Bella

LIVERPOOL HEP MEETING





Z-Counting Overview

- Use the decays of Z bosons to electrons or muons to determine LHC proton-proton interaction rate (luminosity) (c.f. Run 2 ATLAS lumi paper [1])
- Independent confirmation of dominant timedependence of systematic uncertainty of ATLAS preferred luminosity measurement – 0.8% (world record accuracy for pp collider)

 $\mathcal{L}_Z = ~rac{N_Z}{\sigma_Z}$

Monte Carlo correction factors and data-driven efficiencies are applied

$$\mathcal{L}_Z(\Delta t) = rac{N_{Z
ightarrow l^+ l^-}(\Delta t) imes (1-f_{bkg})}{F^{ ext{MC}}(\mu) imes A^{ ext{MC}} imes \epsilon^{T\&P}_{Z
ightarrow l^+ l^-}(\Delta t) imes \sigma_{theory} imes \Delta t}$$

Pileup-dependent Monte Carlo correction factors account for non-closure between data-driven reconstruction efficiency and the true ratio of reconstructed leptons to the true number of leptons given by Monte Carlo simulation • Data-driven efficiency uses a combination of single-lepton reconstruction and trigger efficiencies to provide a full time and pileup-dependent, event-level efficiency

ATLAS Authorship Qualification Project

2

Z-Counting – Data-Driven Efficiency

$$arepsilon_{Z
ightarrow l^+l^-}^{T\&P} \,=\, \left(1-(1-arepsilon_{ ext{trig},\,1l})^2
ight) imes(arepsilon_{ ext{reco},\,1l})^2$$



- Single-lepton reconstruction and trigger efficiencies are monitored on a short time basis (~ every 60s)
- Must be monitored in-situ due to small changes through time

Z-Counting – Luminosity Measurements

 $\mathcal{L}_{e^+e^-}/\mathcal{L}_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ Ratio

• Provides a powerful cross-check for the individual methodologies of each channel (since efficiencies and acceptances are determined in different ways)

$\mathcal{L}_{l^+l^-}/\mathcal{L}_{ATLAS}$ Ratio

• Gives a direct comparison of Z-counting luminosity to ATLAS preferred luminosity



- $\mathcal{L}_{e^+e^-}/\mathcal{L}_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ ratio close to 1 methodologies are under control at 1% level
- $\mathcal{L}_{l+l} /\mathcal{L}_{ATLAS}$ ratio shows a spread of <1% across 2022/23 comparable to Run 2 yearly calibration uncertainty

W Mass – Main Thesis Project



- My work so far: Electron energy calibration
 precise *m_W* measurement requires
 calibration of EM calorimeter response
- Using special low-pileup ($\mu < 2$) runs from Run 2 at 5 and 13 TeV – aiming for similar ($\delta m_W \sim 15$ MeV) uncertainty

Electron Calibration



- Calibration is performed using low pileup Z mass resonance data at 5 and 13 TeV:
 - Gaussian Smearing, c (constant term):
 - Energy Shift, α (scale factor):

$$\left(\frac{\sigma(E)}{E}\right)^{data} = \left(\frac{\sigma(E)}{E}\right)^{MC} \bigoplus C(\eta^{calo})$$
$$E^{data} = E^{MC} \left(1 + \alpha(\eta^{calo})\right)$$

Electron Calibration



• Invariant mass distribution of $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events before and after calibration

- Application of calibration coefficients brings data and MC lineshapes inline with each other
- Systematic uncertainties extracted from electron calibration results consistent with total m_W target precision

Conclusion

Z-counting:

- Transferred methodology from Run 2 (13 TeV) to new ATLAS data at 13.6 TeV full 2022 and 2023 datasets
 processed and analysed
- ATLAS author continuing to work with luminosity team through 2024 data-taking

W Mass - Main thesis project:

- Finished 1st W mass task: electron calibration for electromagnetic calorimeter response using low-mu dataset at 5 and 13 TeV – systematic uncertainties successfully extracted
- Further work will focus on reducing dominant systematic uncertainties resulting from W boson production modelling and knowledge of proton structure
- Currently working at DESY, Hamburg for 2 years

Backup – Why Z bosons?

- Experimentally clean signature in electron and muon channels, even at high pileup (µ, number of simultaneous proton-proton interactions per bunch crossing)
- Good theoretical understanding of Z production and decay with production cross section known to high precision

Backup – Electron Energy Calibration Coefficients Gaussian Smearing: c

^{0.025} 0.02 0.015 0.01 0.005 -0.005-0.01-0.015 -Old -0.02-New Eta calo Energy Shift, α ≥ 0.025 c 0.02 0.015 0.01 0.005 -0.015 -Old -0.022 1.5 Eta calo

- Calibration results (α and c) as a function of psuedorapidity
- Global upward shift in α is expected due to new E1/E2 correction (same effect is seen at <u>high mu</u>)
- Both results compared to previous results using old model

Backup – Electron Energy Systematics



eta