# **Status of EDM searches**

Alex Keshavarzi University of Manchester

Workshop on Muon Precision Physics 2024 University of Liverpool 12th November 2024



 $\mathbb X$  @alexkeshavarzi

alexander.keshavarzi@manchester.ac.uk



 $\mathcal{L} = \theta$ 1  $\frac{1}{16\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu a}$ 



#### **What is dark matter?** (What is 85% of the universe's matter?)

**Where did all the antimatter go?** Need more CP violation!

#### alexander.keshavarzi@manchester.ac.uk

 $\mathbb X$  @alexkeshavarzi

#### Some things that don't we know

*"There's no shame in not knowing things! It's okay to say, 'I don't know.' The only shame is to pretend that we know everything" Richard Feynman*

#### **The Strong CP Problem** We can't fully describe

strong interactions

**Is there BSM physics?** And if so, what/where is it?



*Hubble Space Telescope, NASA, ESA*

![](_page_1_Figure_14.jpeg)

#### **Robust precision test of the SM Universe's matter-**

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### DM models predict large EDMs.

![](_page_2_Figure_4.jpeg)

Predicted values are immeasurably small in the SM. **antimatter asymmetry.** New CP violation.

Why EDMs? Permanent EDM Violates both T & P Symmetries

**Time reversal** Time  $\rightarrow$  -t Spin **Dark matter New physics sensitivity New physics sensitivity** 

EDM,  $d \neq 0$ :  $T$ -violation =  $CP$ -violation

**Non-zero EDM = BSM physics + CP-violation.** 

At minimum, can greatly constrain parameter space.

Sensitive to a wide range of interactions and energy scales.

From Quark CPviolation alone, Galaxy probability in this picture ~  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ *Hubble Space Telescope, NASA, ESA*

alexander.keshavarzi@manchester.ac.uk

 $\mathbb X$  @alexkeshavarzi

BSM effects are loop-induced.

![](_page_2_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **EDMs: Intro and Current Status**

• Dirac equation in electric field yields EDM form factor,  $F_3$ :

$$
\Gamma^{\mu} = -ie \left[ \gamma^{\mu} F_1(q^2) + (F_2(q^2) + i F_3(q^2) \gamma_5) \frac{i \sigma^{\mu \nu} q_{\nu}}{2m} \right]
$$

[For particle mass with  $m_p$ , EDM  $d_p \rightarrow F_3(0) \propto 2 m_p d_p$ ]

- Measure of the overall polarity of the system:
	- i.e. the separation/distribution of positive (u) and negative (d) charge within the proton.
	- Charge asymmetry along the spin axis.
- External electric field  $+$  a non-zero, static EDM of the proton induces mechanical torque:
	- Uneven charge distribution + electric field = EDMinduced motion.
	- Not to be confused with magnetic dipole moment (g-2).
- A permanent EDM violates both P and T.
	- From CPT symmetry  $\rightarrow$  model-independent CP violation.

![](_page_3_Figure_14.jpeg)

Disclaimer: in this talk I was focus entirely on these particles and will not mention atomic EDMs.

### **SM predictions of EDMs**

*Phys.Rev.Lett.* 129 (2022) 23, 231801, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 129 (2022) 231801

SM predictions for paramagnetic EDMs (e.g. electron EDM in atoms, frequency of atomic transition) can be substantially enhanced (e.g. by K<sub>s</sub> exchange parameterisation of CKM matrix) and can arise from EDM **OR** semi-leptonic operator:

EDM operator

#### *Science* 381 (2023) 6653,.

 $d_e$ <sup> $\sim$ </sup>

equiv

For "purely leptonic" CKM predictions…  $< 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e \cdot \text{cm}$ 

Electron, Muon and Tau

- Ultimately transfers from quark EDMs.
- Long-distance, non-perturbative effects dominate.
- Errors are huge  $(-70\%)$ .

$$
d_e^{\text{(SM)}} = 5.8 \times 10^{-40} e \text{ cm},
$$
  
\n
$$
d_{\mu}^{\text{(SM)}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-38} e \text{ cm},
$$
  
\n
$$
d_{\tau}^{\text{(SM)}} = -7.3 \times 10^{-38} e \text{ cm}.
$$

![](_page_4_Figure_11.jpeg)

*Phys.Rev.Lett.* 125 (2020) 241802

#### Neutron and Proton

- Induced by CKM matrix's CP-phase via hadronic loops.
- Again, huge uncertainties (order of magnitude).
- Long distance contributions calculated to be:

 $\left(\frac{d_e^{\text{equiv}}}{d_e^{\text{in}}}\right) = \left(\frac{d_e}{d_e}\right) + \left(\frac{C_S}{S}\right) \times 1.5 \times 10^{-20} e \text{ cm}$ Semi-leptonic operator

 $1 \times 10^{-32} e \text{ cm} < \{|d_n|, |d_p|\} < 6 \times 10^{-32} e \text{ cm}$ 

*Phys.Rev.C* 91 (2015) 2, 025502

• Estimate of full contribution comes from P and CP conserving nuclear magnetic moment  $\mu_N$  corrected for Pviolating and CP violating phases:

... ± an order of magnitude.  $d_N > \mu_N G_F f_\pi^{\,2} \,\times\, 10^{-3} \times \,10^{-7} \!\sim {\bf 10^{-31}}\, e\cdot{\bf cm}$ F. Abusaif et al. [CPEDM], arXiv:1912.07881 (2019).

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

See Gerald Gabrielse's talk after this.

Electric Atom / Molecule

Structure dependent, ̴10 (Z/80) <sup>3</sup> GV/cm

Field

**E**

Using atoms or molecules to measure electron  $EDM, d_e:$ 

- For a free electron in an applied field **E**, expect an interaction energy -**d<sup>e</sup> .E**
- $E_{\text{eff}} = F P$ For a bound electron, interaction energy  $=$ **d**e **.E**eff

Effective field, E<sub>eff</sub> (GV/cm)

Effective field,<br> $5$ 

-5

 $E_{\text{eff}}$  (GV/cm)

**Electron EDM**

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

See Miko Sakurai's and Diego Becerra's talks on Thursday.

# **Muon EDM**

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

Both the Fermilab and J-PARC g-2 experiments will also search for a muon EDM in their storage ring experiments.

BNL:  $|d\mu| \approx 2.5 \times 10$ -19 e.cm FNAL:  $|d\mu| \approx 3.0 \times 10\text{-}21$  e.cm J-PARC:  $|d\mu| \approx 1.0 \times 10$ -21 e.cm

#### **PSI – a dedicated muon EDM experiment**

• Cancel anomalous precession with matched E-field:

$$
E \cong a B c \beta \gamma^2
$$

- Spin remains parallel to orbit
- No "contamination" from anomalous spin precession

 $s_z \propto \eta E^* \cdot t$ 

 $\sqrt{\vec{B} \times \vec{E}}$  +  $\frac{\eta_d}{2} \left( \frac{\vec{E}}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \right)$  $\vec{\omega} = \frac{q}{m} \left| a \vec{B} + \right|$ 

> PSI Sensitivity (1 year): σ(dμ) < 5 × 10-23 e.cm

> > arXiv:2102.08838

![](_page_6_Figure_14.jpeg)

### **Tau EDM**

 $\mathbb X$  @alexkeshavarzi

- EDMs are chirality flipping with magnitude proportional to particle mass.
- BSM contributions to EDM may scale with lepton mass:  $(m_\tau/m_e)^2{\sim 10^7}$  and  $\left(m_\tau/m_\mu\right)^2$  $\sim$  300.
- BUT, short tau lifetime: (2.903 ± 0.005) × 10−13 s, makes it impractical to store taus to search for EDM.

$$
-1.85 \times 10^{-17} \text{ ecm} < Re(d_{\tau}) < 6.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ ecm},
$$

• PDG limits:<br> $-1.03 \times 10^{-17}$  ecm  $< Im(d_{\tau}) < 2.30 \times 10^{-18}$  ecm. (Belle)

![](_page_7_Figure_8.jpeg)

Figure 1: Some processes where the  $\tau$  dipole moments may contribute.

GA González-Sprinberg, TAU2023, arXiv:2406.15286.

- Belle-II could probe  $\sim$ 10<sup>-20</sup>
- Improved PID, tracking, MC, statistics, detector simulation.
- But restricted by beam BG. Needs run-by-run BG studies.
- Belle II aims to collect 50ab-1 ,
	- Around 10x more sensitive result can be expected for statistics
	- Luminosity increased by roughly x 8 on Belle.

Can also be probed by Pb-Pb collisions at LHC…

# Nucleon EDMs  $(d_N)$

Nucleon (proton or neutron) are suppressed in the SM.

[Tiny CP violating phase in CKM matrix through higher-order loop processes involving quark interactions.]

The SM prediction for  $d_N$  is:

$$
\left|d_N^{SM}\right| \sim 10^{-31} \, e \cdot cm
$$

Larger EDMs can arise from:

- BSM models which generate new, CP-violating CKM matrix contributions (SUSY, 2HDM, dark Z, leptoquarks, Extra dimensions).
- The naturally arising QCD  $\theta$ -term:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}=-\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^aG^{a\mu\nu}+\bar{q}(iD\hspace{-8pt}/-m_q)q+\bar{\theta}\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2}G_{\mu\nu}^a\tilde{G}^{a\mu\nu}
$$

leading to a non-zero  $d_N$ :

$$
|d_N| \approx |\bar{\theta}| \frac{m_u m_d}{(m_u + m_d)} \frac{\mu_N}{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}} \approx |\bar{\theta}| \times 10^{-16} e \cdot \text{cm}.
$$

![](_page_8_Figure_13.jpeg)

# **Strong CP Problem**

 $\mathbb{X}$  @ alexkeshavarzi

QCD (& The SM) has a glaring hole in it…

![](_page_9_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  No CP violation implies:  $\overline{\theta} = \theta + \varphi = 0$  (Fine tuning!)

 $\rightarrow$  No EDM implies  $|\overline{\theta}| \lesssim 10^{-10} \rightarrow |\overline{d}_{N}^{SM}| \lesssim 10^{-31}~e\cdot cm$  (Fine tuning!)

**The Strong CP problem is a whole community problem…** 

Non-zero nucleon EDM, e.g.  $10^{-26} e \cdot cm \ge |\vec{d}_N| \ge 10^{-30} e \cdot cm$ 

- $=$  Understand the strong CP-problem!
- = CP-violation source for Baryon Asymmetry!
- $=$  Unambiguous new physics!

![](_page_9_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Neutron EDM**

- Store ultra-cold neutrons (UCNs) at e.g. PSI.
- Few  $\mu$ T uniform magnetic along the trap axis sets baseline neutron spin precession frequency Zeeman effect.
- Strong electric Field ( $\sim$  10 kV/cm) applied across the neutron trap and periodically reversed.
- When an EDM exists, the precession frequency should slightly shift when the electric field is reversed relative to the magnetic field.
- Comagnetometer measurements and Magnetic Field Monitoring.

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

**Magnetically Shielded Room** 

#### 11

### **Neutron EDM: limitations**

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Robust precision test of the SM

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\propto a_{\alpha c}$  = (...) +  $\frac{9}{32\pi^2}$   $\bar{\theta}$   $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$   $G^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ 

Non-zero nucleon (N) Electric Dipole Moment (EDM)  $\rightarrow$  $\vec{d}_N$  =  $\vartheta(\theta)$ .

Understand the Strong CP problem.

Oscillating pEDM = axionic DM.

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Why Proton EDM?

First ever direct proton EDM measurement. Improve on current (indirect) limit by at least  $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ .

![](_page_12_Figure_10.jpeg)

Only EDM measurement with current potential to probe SM limit.

Probes axion field… Freq: 1 mHz  $\rightarrow$  1MHz Mass: 10<sup>-7</sup> eV → 10<sup>-22</sup> eV alexander.keshavarzi@manchester.ac.uk

 $\mathbb{X}$  @alexkeshavarzi

![](_page_12_Picture_15.jpeg)

Confirmed proton EDM = modelindependent CP violation.

Far-reaching complimentary to wider programme.

 $O(PeV)$  mass scale:

 $\phi^{\rm NP}$  ~ 1,  $\Lambda_{\rm NP}$  ~ 3 ×  $10^3$  TeV.

Light, weak new physics[e.g. LHC/FCC.]  $\Lambda_{\rm NP}$ ~ 1 GeV,  $g \lesssim 10^{-5}$ ,  $\phi^{\rm NP}$ ~  $10^{-10}$ . [e.g. LZ, LDMX, FASER, SHiP.]

CP-violating

Universe's matter-

antimatter asymmetry.

### **The Proton EDM**

- Measure of charge separation of the system:
	- i.e. distribution of positive (u) and negative (d) charge within the proton.

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Uneven charge  $+$  electric field  $=$  EDM-induced torque.
- Results in vertical tilt the spin/polarisation:
	- We just need to measure an angle!

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

Requires:

Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams **23** (2020) 024601.

- Longitudinally polarised protons.
- Electric storage ring (electric field bending).
- Polarimeters to measure polarisation.
- Direct nEDM limit:  $\left| \vec{d}_n \right| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} \ e \cdot cm$ .
- No direct limit on pEDM!

• Best indirect limit:  $\left| d_p^{\downarrow 199_{\rm Hg}} \right| < 2.0 \, \times \, 10^{-25} \, e \cdot cm.$ 

![](_page_13_Figure_17.jpeg)

**Proton EDM experiment** phase 1 sensitivity ~  $10^{-29} e \cdot cm!$ [That's 0.00000000000000000000000000001 ∙ ]  $\rightarrow$  pEDM improved >  $\mathcal{O}(10^4)$ .

 $\rightarrow \theta_{\text{QCD}}$  (strong CP problem) improved >  $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$ .

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Consider Muon g-2 experiment: charged particle in magnetic  $(\vec{B})$  and electric  $(\vec{E})$  fields:

 $\vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} \approx \frac{e}{m}$  $\frac{e}{m}\left[a\vec{B}+\left(a-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)\right]$  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\Big)\big(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{E}\big)\Big] \, .$ Measure a frequency →

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Consider Muon g-2 experiment: charged particle in magnetic  $(\vec{B})$  and electric  $(\vec{E})$  fields:

Measure a frequency  $\rightarrow$ 

$$
\vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} \approx \frac{e}{m} \left[ a \vec{B} + \left( \overline{a} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \left( \overline{B} \times \overline{E} \right) \right].
$$

Muon  $\rightarrow$  storage ring magnet  $R_0 = 7.112$  m and  $B = 1.45$  T ...

Choose muon g-2 magic-momentum,  $\gamma_{\text{magic}} = \sqrt{1 + 1/a} \rightarrow p = 3.094 \text{ GeV/c}.$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Use Muon g-2 principles: charged particle with EDM in magnetic  $(\vec{B})$  and electric  $(\vec{E})$  fields:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{Measure a} \\
\text{frequency} \rightarrow & \vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m} \Big[ a\vec{B} + \left( a - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \left( \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \Big( \frac{\vec{E}}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \Big) \Big], \quad \vec{d} = \eta \frac{q\hbar}{2mc} \vec{S} \,.\n\end{array}
$$

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Use Muon g-2 principles: charged particle with EDM in magnetic  $(\vec{B})$  and electric  $(\vec{E})$  fields:

Measure a  
\nfrequency 
$$
\rightarrow \vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m} \left[ \frac{a\vec{B} + (\vec{\omega} - \vec{B})}{\gamma^2 - 1} \left( \vec{B} \times \vec{E} \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left( \frac{\vec{E}}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \right) \right]
$$
,  $\vec{d} = \eta \frac{q\hbar}{2mc} \vec{S}$ .  
\nProton  $\rightarrow$  electric storage ring  $R_0 = 800$  m and  $E = 4.4$  M/m ...  
\nChoose pEDM magic-momentum:  $a\vec{B} + \left( a - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \right) \left( \vec{\beta} \times \vec{E} \right) = 0 \rightarrow p = 0.7$  GeV/c.

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Use Muon g-2 principles: charged particle with EDM in magnetic  $(\vec{B})$  and electric  $(\vec{E})$  fields:

Measure a  $\vec{E}$  $\vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m}$  $\frac{e}{m}$   $\overrightarrow{aB}$  +  $\overrightarrow{a}$   $\overrightarrow{v^2}$  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{E}) + \frac{\eta}{2}$  $\left. \frac{\vec{E}}{c}+\vec{\beta}\times \vec{B}\right) \right\} \ , \ \ \vec{d}=\eta\frac{q\hbar}{2m\omega}$ frequency  $\rightarrow \vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m} \left[ \vec{a} \vec{B} + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{B} \times \vec{E} \right] + \frac{\eta}{2} \left( \frac{E}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \right) \right]$ ,  $\vec{d} = \eta \frac{q \hbar}{2mc} \vec{S}$ . 2 Frozen-spin technique! Proton  $\rightarrow$  electric storage ring  $R_0 = 800$  m and  $E = 4.4$  M/m ... Choose pEDM magic-momentum:  $a\vec{B} + \left(a - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\big)\big(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{E}\big)=0\rightarrow p=0.7$  GeV/c.

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Inject  $O(10^{10})$  polarized protons every twenty minutes.
- $\vec{E}$ -field storage and bending.
- Vertical polarization in polarimeter = static EDM.

What about large, T-conserving systematics that mimic vertical, T-violating EDM, e.g. unwanted vertical electric fields?

### **Storage ring EDM: a Muon g-2 spin-off**

Use Muon g-2 principles: charged particle with EDM in magnetic ( $\vec{B}$ ) and electric ( $\vec{E}$ ) fields:

Measure a  $\vec{E}$  $\vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m}$  $\frac{e}{m}$   $\overrightarrow{aB}$  +  $\overrightarrow{a}$   $\overrightarrow{v^2}$  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{E}) + \frac{\eta}{2}$  $\left. \frac{\vec{E}}{c}+\vec{\beta}\times\vec{B}\right) \right\}$  ,  $\left.\vec{d}\right. =\eta\frac{q\hbar}{2m}$ frequency  $\rightarrow \vec{\omega}_{spin} = \vec{\omega}_{MDM} + \vec{\omega}_{EDM} \approx \frac{e}{m} \left[ \vec{a} \vec{B} + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{B} \times \vec{E} \right] + \frac{\eta}{2} \left( \frac{E}{c} + \vec{\beta} \times \vec{B} \right) \right]$ ,  $\vec{d} = \eta \frac{q\hbar}{2mc} \vec{S}$ . 2 Frozen-spin technique! Proton  $\rightarrow$  electric storage ring  $R_0 = 800$  m and  $E = 4.4$  M/m ... Choose pEDM magic-momentum:  $a\vec{B} + \left(a - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$  $\frac{1}{\gamma^2-1}\big)\big(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{E}\big)=0\rightarrow p=0.7$  GeV/c.

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Inject  $O(10^{10})$  polarized protons every twenty minutes.
- $\cdot$   $\vec{E}$ -field storage and bending.
- Vertical polarization in polarimeter = static EDM.

What about large, T-conserving systematics that mimic vertical, T-violating EDM, e.g. unwanted vertical electric fields?

→ **Store CW and CCW beams (time reverse of each other) to cancel these effects!**

# **A Probe for Axionic Dark Matter (DM)**

The Strong CP problem has a longstanding hypothesis solution:

- The Peccei-Quinn Mechanism (Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440).
- Gives rise to the (undiscovered) axion  $\rightarrow$  common DM candidate.

Axion DM field oscillates as background field in the universe:

- Frequency amplitude = universe's DM density.
- Axion frequency related to axion mass.

If axion exists, oscillating field = time-varying CP-violating interaction with Proton EDM.

• Proton EDM would oscillate at the frequency of the axion field!

$$
d_p(t) \approx \frac{a(t)}{f_a} \times 10^{16} \approx 5 \times 10^{-35} \cos\left(\frac{1}{\hbar} m_a c^2(t - t_0) + \phi_0\right) e \cdot \text{cm}.
$$

The Proton EDM Experiment is extremely sensitive to such time variations:

• Would stand out as a distinct signature from background.

Axion frequency / mass sensitivity: Frequency: 1 mHz  $\rightarrow$  1 MHz Mass:  $10^{-7}$  eV  $\rightarrow 10^{-22}$  eV

![](_page_20_Figure_14.jpeg)

### **pEDM Experiment: New Physics Reach**

![](_page_21_Picture_265.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  Proton potentially more sensitive probe than neutron.

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

non-thermal WIMP (FIMP)

standard

thermal WIMP

"classical" **QCD** axion

**QCD axion** 

### **pEDM Statistical Sensitivity**

PRD **105** (2022) 032001, arxiv:2205.00830.

At BNL, circumference = 800 m for E = 4.4 MV/m. The signal accumulation is  $10^{-9}$ rad/s for a sensitivity of  $10^{-29} e \cdot cm$ .

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

**pEDM2:** Increase  $E$  and  $k =$  increase sensitivity to SM prediction – Work ongoing!

# **(Short) path to readiness**

**Main message: no showstoppers! Due diligence, physics studies, moving to CDR/TDR phase…**

#### Already completed…

- Experiment design, engineering and modelling complete.  $\vert \cdot \vert$
- Prototype components under construction.  $\vee$
- Measurement techniques understood.  $\vee$
- Key systematics understood.  $\vee$

#### Work to be done…

- Precision beams studies (Muon g-2 experts).
- Options for improved polarimetry (e.g. CMOS).
- Alignment system, methodology and studies.
- Simulate  $10<sup>3</sup>$  particles for  $10<sup>3</sup>$  seconds beam lifetime.
- More realistic costing (estimated  $O(\text{\pounds}100\text{\textsf{M}})$ ).

#### **Build community/collaboration!**

- Increased involvement (you are invited!).
- New generation to start and finish experiment.

![](_page_23_Figure_17.jpeg)

- Cost  $O(E100M)$  and TDR to final publication in < 20 years.
- Can be started and finished by the new generation.
- Paramount physics drivers:
	- Solve strong CP problem.
	- Baryon asymmetry.
	- Dark matter.

#### **Arguably one of the most low-cost/high-return proposals in particle physics today!**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **(Short) path to readiness**

#### **Main message: no showstoppers! Due diligence, physics studies, moving to CDR/TDR phase…**

- F. Abusaif *et al.* [CPEDM], arXiv:1912.07881 (2019).
- J. Alexander et al., arXiv:2205.00830 (2022).
- F. J. M. Farley *et al.*, Physical Review Letters **93** (2004) 052001.
- V. Anastassopoulos Mooser *et al.,* "*A proposal to measure the proton electric dipole moment with* 10−29 ⋅ *sensitivity*", by the Storage ring EDM collaboration (2011).
- N. Brantjes *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **664** (2012) 49.
- W. M. Morse, Y. F. Orlov and Y. K. Semertzidis, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **16** (2013) 114001.
- E. M. Metodiev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **17** (2014) 074002.
- A. Mooser *et al.,* Nature **509** (2014) 596.
- D. Eversmann *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 094801.
- E. M. Metodiev *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **797** (2015) 311.
- G. Guidoboni *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016) 054801.
- V. Anastassopoulos *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87** (2016) 115116.
- A. Saleev *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams **20** (2017) 072801.
- N. Hempelmann *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 014801.
- N. Hempelmann *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams **21** (2018) 042002.
- G. Guidoboni *et al.* [JEDI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Accel. Beams **21** (2018) 024201.
- S. Hacıömeroğlu and Yannis K. Semertzidis, Phys.Rev.Accel.Beams 22 (2019) 034001.
- Z. Omarov *et al.* Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022) 032001.
- JINST **17** (2022) C09010;.
- 

![](_page_24_Figure_24.jpeg)

#### $\text{CDR}$  is in preparation now!<br>J. Gooding, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool 25

### **EDM Experiment Sensitivity**

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

pEDM is the only experiment with immediate potential to measure a particle EDM down to its SM prediction

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Conclusions**

- EDMs provide a tool to probe significant new physics drivers:
	- CP-violation source for Baryon Asymmetry.
	- Dark-matter.
	- Probe light-weak new particles  $\rightarrow$  PeV-scale new physics.
	- No EDM would also be dramatic  $\rightarrow$  at SM limit.
- SM predictions are difficult and have large uncertainties.
- Electron and muon EDM measurements to improve by 1-3 orders of magnitude in next 5 years.
- Nucleon EDMs probe strong CP problem and axionic DM.
- Next-generation neutron experiments will improve by x10.
- Proton EDM has never been directly measured.
- pEDM will improves on current (indirect) limit by  $> \mathcal{O}(10^4)$ .
- Has potential to to do  $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$  and be sensitive to SM prediction.

# **Thank you**

![](_page_26_Picture_17.jpeg)

### **Backups**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **What is the proton EDM?**

 $\mathbf d$ 

The Dirac equation in an electric field gives rise to the EDM form factor,  $F_3$ :

$$
\Gamma^{\mu} = -ie \left[ \gamma^{\mu} F_1(q^2) + (F_2(q^2) + i F_3(q^2) \gamma_5) \frac{i \sigma^{\mu \nu} q_{\nu}}{2m} \right]
$$

For the Proton (mass  $m_p$ , EDM  $d_p$ )  $\rightarrow$   $F_3$  (0)  $\propto 2 m_p d_p$ 

- It is a measure of the overall polarity of the system:
	- i.e. the separation/distribution of positive (u) and negative (d) charge within the proton.
	- Charge asymmetry along the spin axis.
- External electric field  $+$  a non-zero, static EDM of the proton induces mechanical torque:
	- Uneven charge distribution  $+$  electric field  $=$  EDM-induced motion.
	- Not to be confused with magnetic dipole moment (g-2).
- A permanent EDM violates both P and T.
	- From CPT symmetry → model-independent CP violation.

![](_page_28_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **The SM Prediction of the Proton EDM**

The allowed upper limit for for the nucleon EDM is given by

 $d_N < \mu_N G_F f_\pi^{\, 2} \, \times \, 10^{-3} \!\!\sim 10^{-24} e \cdot {\sf cm}$ 

- $\mu_N$  is the P and CP conserving nuclear magnetic moment.
- Corrected P-violation scale in SM:  $G_F f_\pi^2 \sim 10^{-7}$ .
- Corrected for CP violation scale:  $\sim 10^{-3}$

The lower limit of  $d_N$  is found by adjusting the upper bound of  $d_N$  in the SM.

- Should the Peccei-Quinn mechanism remove  $QCD \theta$ -term...
- $\cdot$   $\rightarrow$  SM's flavour-changing, CP-violating phase from the CKM-matrix corrects flavour-neutral nature of EDM upper limit by applying a further suppression factor of  $G_F f_\pi^2 \sim 10^{-7}$ :

$$
d_N > \mu_N G_F f_\pi^2 \times 10^{-3} \times 10^{-7} \sim 10^{-31} e \cdot \text{cm}
$$

More detailed calculations exist (e.g. Phys. Rev. C **91** (2015) 025502), but all similar order of magnitude and ALL have uncertainties of roughly  $\pm$  an order of magnitude.

# Peccei-Quinn mechanism

- Basic idea: promote  $\theta$  to a field and make sure that it dynamically relaxes to zero
- How to get there: extend the SM with additional fields so that the model has an axial  $U(1)_{PQ}$  global symmetry with these features:
	- $U(1)_{PQ}$  is broken spontaneously at some high scale  $\rightarrow$  axion is the resulting Goldstone mode
	- $U(1)_{PQ}$  is broken by the axial anomaly  $\rightarrow$  the axion acquires interactions with gluons, which generate an axion potential
	- Potential induces axion expectation value such that  $\overline{\theta} = 0$
- Salient features can be captured by effective theory analysis

## **Strong CP Problem**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\bar \theta \tilde G G$  leads to non-zero nucleon (N) EDM  $\Rightarrow \bigl| \vec{d}_N \bigr| = \vartheta(\theta).$  $\textsf{SM}\colon\big|\overline{\theta}\big|\lesssim 10^{-10}\to\big|\overline{d}_N^{SM}\big|\lesssim 10^{-31}\,e\cdot cm\,\to\,\textsf{More fine tuning!}$ 

A non-zero proton EDM (pEDM), e.g.  $10^{-24} e \cdot cm \gtrsim |\vec{d}_N| \gtrsim 10^{-30} e \cdot cm$ :

- Unambiguous evidence of new physics (with no SM theory needed!).
- Solves strong CP-problem!
- **Baryon asymmetry**  Model-independent source of CP-violation needed.
- **Dark matter** new  $U(1)$  symmetry + SSB  $\rightarrow$  pseudo-Goldstone boson, a = **axion**

$$
\mathcal{L}_{QCD+a} = (\dots) + \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{\partial G_{aw} G^{\mu\nu}a}{\partial x^2} - \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{f_a \overline{\partial}}{f_a} \overline{G_{\mu\nu}^a} G^{\mu\nu a}
$$

→ Observed oscillating pEDM = possible signature of an axion-like DM particle**.** <sup>15</sup>

BUT, we do not overserve CP violation in strong interactions…

$$
\bar{\theta} = \theta + \varphi
$$

- $=$  QCD  $\theta$  -term (non-perturbative) + quark mass phase.
	- $\rightarrow$  No CP violation implies:
	- $\theta = \theta + \varphi = 0$  (Fine tuning!)

![](_page_31_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_17.jpeg)

#### **Proton EDM experiment sensitivity**

- No current direct limit on pEDM! Best indirect limit from atomic physics is  $\left|d_p^{\downarrow 199} _{\mid 8}\right|< 2.$   $0\,\times\,10^{-25}\,e\cdot cm.$
- Best current (direct) <code>nEDM</code> limit is  $\left| \vec{d}_n \right| < 1.8 \, \times \, 10^{-26} \, e \cdot cm.$
- Remember, new physics in nucleon EDM range:  $10^{-24} e \cdot cm \gtrsim |\vec{d}_N| \gtrsim 10^{-30} e \cdot cm...$

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

First-ever direct proton EDM measurement will have a sensitivity of  $10^{-29} e \cdot cm!$ 

Take-home message:  $\frac{4}{1}$  orders of magnitude on pEDM, three orders of magnitude on  $\theta_{\OmegaCD}$ .

alexander.keshavarzi@manchester.ac.uk

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Physics complementarity**

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

Importantly, pEDM will clearly be highly complementary to nEDM experiments…

 $\rightarrow$  But, pEDM wins the statistics battle.

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

# A Probe for Neutron Star Spin (maybe) **Xalexkeshavarzi**

- Collapsed core of a massive, supergiant star not massive enough to produce black hole.
- Massive star supernova + gravity compresses star core (mostly neutrons) to atomic nucelli density:  $\sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$  in  $\sim 10$  km.

Neutron stars spin as fast as 60 times per second:

- As it collapses, its radius decreases with constant mass.
- Angular momentum causes the star to spin faster.
- Like how an ice skater spins faster when they pull in their arms.

Neutron star spins are aligned…

- In presence of axionic DM field,  $\theta_{\text{OCD}}$  oscillates at axion mass frequency.
- Whole spin (EDM) of neutron star would oscillate at same frequency.
- Resulting in strong radiation.
- Neuron star spin (EDM) potentially detectable by pEDM experiment:
	- Possible interactions with EDMs (e.g. proton, neutron) in lab on earth.
	- And EM radiation on earth that could be observed (e.g. with a resonant cavity).

 $L = I\omega$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_17.jpeg)

#### What is a neutron star? The state of the state of the state of the PHYSICAL REVIEW X 14, 041015 (2024)

Not yet proven, but work ongoing by theorists to understand this effect.

 $\rightarrow$  Could make pEDM a LIGO-like experiment...

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Deuteron and ³He EDM**

Proton EDM Experiment could also search for deuteron and ³He nucleus EDM:

- No current limit on deuteron EDM.
- Could be up to 10<sup>3</sup> larger than neutron EDM if no axion mechanism is present.
- Complimentary physics to proton EDM.
- Theoretical relations between proton/deuterons EDM which will provide consistency checks.
- <sup>3</sup>He EDM will have similar sensitivity to deuteron.

Deuteron magnetic dipole moment is negative:

- Fields needed for storage are more complicated.
- All electric ring not possible.
- Requires combination of electric and magnetic fields for storage for frozen-spin.
- Corresponding uncertainties are larger than pEDM.
- Would require 1-year experimental alterations and extra 5 years data-taking on pEDM.

![](_page_35_Figure_15.jpeg)

If any EDM is observed, is possible to decipher the CP-violating source by comparing the proton, deuteron, and neutron EDM values

#### **Ring lattice systematic studies**

Table 8: Classification of systematic error sources

Table 9: Electric field alignment sequence including magnetic quad current flipping.

![](_page_36_Picture_28.jpeg)

Z. Omarov et al, Phys. Rev. D 105, 032001.

## **pEDM Experiment: funding and timeline**

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Muon Collider Forum Report, arXiv:2209.01318 (2022).

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

- From TDR to final publication in  $<$  20 years.
- Can be started and finished by the new generation.
- Paramount physics drivers:
	- Solve strong CP problem.
	- Baryon asymmetry.
	- Dark matter.

#### **Arguably one of the most low-cost/high-return proposals in particle physics today!**

- *"… science case to enter this area needs to mature, especially relative to the cost of such a program"*  $\rightarrow$  This talk!
- U.S. labour costs expensive. Realistic savings already identified!
- May be substantially cheaper if constructed in UK/Europe  $(\mathcal{O}(E100M))$ .

## **pEDM potential locations**

#### BNL

- R&D and planning done for 800m ring at AGS:
	- Well-understood polarised proton delivery.
- PHENIX Viable site with thought-out ring.
	- No major investment needed for new facility.
- Genesis of current g-2 team and expertise.
- Construction/engineering can be done in UK/EU.
- Least work to realisation but restricted by funding issues.

#### **CERN**

- CERN is our national PP lab.
- Could make use of old ISR (CW/CCW beams).
- Could do polarised protons (or BNL polarisers).
- Cheaper than U.S. (but 950m ring = more expensive).
- Not tied to P5 report.
- More work to be done compared to BNL.
- Approved/balanced against CERN/LHC/FCC programme.

#### Fermilab

- Ambition to continue storage ring programme.
- High-intensity proton facility ready-to-go.
- Could borrow/use BNL polarised proton technology.
- Use substantial g-2/EDM expertise.
- Interplay with DUNE/neutrino programme.
- Continue Fermilab's wide-ranging particle physics output beyond just neutrinos in long-term.

![](_page_38_Picture_26.jpeg)

 $\bullet$ 

## **pEDM potential locations**

![](_page_39_Picture_170.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **A Storage Ring EDM Experiment**

Advanced design currently under consideration/construction at BNL:

- Highly symmetric, storage ring lattice to control systematics.
- Proton magic momentum  $= 0.7$  GeV/c for frozen-spin.
- Proton polarimetry peak sensitivity at frozen-spin momentum.
- Optimal electric bending (and maybe magnetic focusing).
- $2 \times 10^{10}$  polarized protons per fill. One fill every twenty minutes.
- Simultaneously store clockwise (CW) and counterclockwise (CCW) bunches.
- Simultaneously store longitudinally polarized bunches with positive and negative helicities as well as radially polarized bunches.
- 24-fold symmetric storage ring lattice.
- Closed orbit automatically compensates spin precession from radial magnetic fields.
- Circumference = 800 m with  $E = 4.4$  MV/m, a conservative electric field strength.

![](_page_40_Picture_14.jpeg)

PRD **105** (2022) 032001, arxiv:2205.00830.

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Storage Ring Options**

![](_page_41_Picture_23.jpeg)

43

### **Storage Ring Systematics**

Major systematic efforts from protons in storage ring mitigated/eliminated by enhanced ring symmetry:

![](_page_42_Picture_150.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

PRD **105** (2022) 032001, arxiv:2205.00830.

## **Ongoing Storage Ring Development**

• BNL funded cost estimate of project (\$140M - \$190M) to build and commission the experiment in AGS tunnel for P5.

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Following P5, DOE + BNL approved 3-year LDRD to develop electric field plates at 4.4 MV/m and supporting study on stochastic cooling.

![](_page_43_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

PRD **105** (2022) 032001, arxiv:2205.00830.

 $\rightarrow$  This in being done in collaboration with the UK.

### **Polarimetry**

Inject highly polarized (spin-aligned) protons with *g -* 2 nullified by the frozen-spin technique.

- $\rightarrow$  Electric field interaction with protons with non-zero EDM causes build-up of vertical polarisation component over time (~20 minutes).
- $\rightarrow$  Electric field acts along radial direction (towards ring centre) and will induce vertical tilt in proton polarization.
- $\rightarrow$  Proton beam continuously elastically scattered off carbon target.
- $\rightarrow$  Downstream polarimeter measures the left-right (L-R) scattering asymmetry over the storage time.
- $\rightarrow$  Proton's vertical polarization,  $p_v \propto (L R)/(L + R)$ , proportional to the L-R asymmetry rates of the scattered beam.
- $\rightarrow$  Measurable quantities are number of detected protons (L and R), scattering angle and scattered particle's energy (to exclude inelastic scattering or multiple scattering events).

![](_page_44_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### Area for improvement

- Carbon target is located adjacent to the beam.
- Efficiency is limited by destructive extraction of beam.
- White noise is applied to beam to enlarge beam emittance until outer halo of the beam is incident on carbon target.
- Only 1% of the protons reach the carbon target and become part of the useful data stream. The rest are lost.

### **UK Electrostatic Deflector Work**

PRD **105** (2022) 032001, arxiv:2205.00830.

- pEDM deflectors designed and are under construction in the UK.
- Stably maximising the electric field is potentially the key component to ensuring the success of the pEDM Experiment.
- Higher electric field = larger EDM effect and higher experimental sensitivity + potential for reduced cost from smaller ring size.
- Plans to extend HV performance by collaborating with industry to apply a 2-micron layer of titanium nitride (TiN) to electrodes.
- Technique pioneered by JLAB for smaller scale applications to provide a smoother and more robust surface.
- TiN layer improves breakdown voltage by reducing surface imperfections, minimizing the risk of sparking.
- Breakdown voltage for TiN-coated electrodes has been shown at JLAB to be  $\sim$  3x higher than 4.4MV/m operating field for pEDM.
- $3x$  sensitivity + 3x reduction in storage ring size...

Work ongoing…

![](_page_45_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_14.jpeg)

### **UK Polarimeter Work**

JINST **17** (2022) C09010; J. Gooding, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool

- State-of-the-art polarimeter designed and initially tested in the UK with proton beams.
- Measure proton's polarisation after elastic scattering off a carbon target.
- Inner silicon-based HV-CMOS sensors for precision position measurements (scattering angle).
- Outer Low Gain Avalanche Diodes (LGADs) for time-offlight (ToF) measurements (energy).
- Intend to build and test full prototype soon.

![](_page_46_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_9.jpeg)

- Proposal to use recyclable diamond pellet to nondestructively extract proton beam to polarimeter.
- Interacting protons lose energy and fall inwards to carbon target to be scattered.
- Remaining proton beam undisturbed and in continued.
- Initial simulation put proton beam survival at  $~100\%$ .

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **UK: Quantum Polarimeter**

Can quantum detectors be used for and improve high-flux environments like pEDM  $O(100 \text{ kHz})$ ?

- Silicon electron spin qubits + CMOS = significant promise in quantum sensing:
	- Long intrinsic coherence times.
	- Well-understood material environment.
	- Existing semiconductor infrastructure.
	- Small physical size
- Clear pathway to scale to  $10<sup>4</sup>$  silicon spin qubits.
- Quantum error correction to maintain ensemble of qubits in superposition for extended periods.
- Sensitive measurements through spatio-temporal correlations of qubit disturbances.
- Partnered with Fermilab, University of Wisconsin-Madison, University of Chicago and Diraq (industry).
- R&D for spin qubit sensing elements and develop prototypes.
- Integrate with single-electron resolution cryoCMOS skipper readout electronics (cryogenic temperature readout is essential).
- Few-channel proof of concept and advance to a highly-scaled array with  $10<sup>4</sup>$  elements.
- Study the prototypes EM characteristics to develop better models of the underlying technology.
- Develop models and algorithms to enable physics extraction from spin qubit ensembles (quantum polarimeters) for pEDM.

![](_page_47_Figure_18.jpeg)

### **UK involvement in proton EDM**

и

What the UK can provide*:* 

#### **World class physicists, accelerator scientists and engineering.**

- 50-100% of critical bending components.
- Engineering/construction for deflectors/adjustors.
- Developing/building polarimeters (Si, CMOS).
	- In-line with recent STFC investment.
- Project management.
- Alignment experience.
- Simulation + high-statistics modelling.
- Accelerator experience (e.g. Cockcroft/JAI) UK experiment??
- Lower cost that U.S. estimates.
- Building a UK pEDM consortium/collaboration.
	- This experiment must happen, and UK can play a lead role.
	- Substantial UK expertise available.
	- Inspire a new generation of physicists.
	- Please get in touch if you would like to join.

![](_page_48_Picture_18.jpeg)

London

![](_page_48_Picture_19.jpeg)

### **European Strategy Update 2026**

- Single statement for all "other essential scientific activities" in 2020 ESPPU: *"Experiments in such diverse areas that offer potential high-impact particle physics programmes at laboratories in Europe should be supported, as well as participation in such experiments in other regions of the world."*
- Contributing to UK and EU inputs/collaborations for 2026 update. Answer to questions asked for ESPPU:

#### **Datasets and running/exposure time required:**

- Five running years total.
- Year 1: Commissioning run, 10-27 *e*·cm, first publication.
- Shutdown improvements: 10-28 *e*·cm reached within one week of statistics in new configuration.
- Years 2 5: Four physics run years to reach 10-29 *e*·cm.
- Signal accumulation rate at 1E−29 e · cm is 10−9 rad/s for 1E8 s =  $1158$  days.

#### **Project timeline**:

- Phase I Proton EDM only:
	- R&D to TDR: 2030-2035, 5 years
	- Construction: 2035-2040, 5 years
	- Operations : 2040-2045, 5 years
	- Exploitation: 2045 2050, 5 years

[Proton EDM Phase II (SM prediction), extra 5 years.] [Deuteron EDM, extra 5 years.]

#### **Environmental cost of operation per year:**

- Muon q-2 specific accelerator:
	- 3800 kW \* 8760 hours \* 2/3 year = 22.192E6 kWh
	- 22.192E6 kWh \* 0.20707 kg CO2e per kWh = 4,595 tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
- FNAL remaining accelerator (shared):
	- ~250E3 MWh = 250E6 kWh [FNAL] 22.2E6 kWh [Muon g-2] = 227.8 kWh [shared].
	- 250E6 kWh \* 0.20707 kg CO2e per kWh = 47,171 tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
- Muon g-2 storage ring, detectors and building [2]:
	- 1E6 kWh \* 0.20707 kg CO2e per kWh = 208 tonnes of CO2 equivalent.
- Muon g-2 emissions [3]: - (181703 [FNAL FY18] - 163818 [FNAL FY17]) \*2/52 [2 weeks] \* 2/3 year = 687 tonnes of CO2 equivalent
- **Muon g-2 only = 5,854 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per year**
- **Muon g-2 including shared FNAL accelerator = 53,025 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per year**

**[**pEDM storage ring power will be less as no superconducting magnet.] [Accelerator costs likely reduced from no muon production / bunching.]

### **European Strategy Update 2026**

- Single statement for all "other essential scientific activities" in 2020 ESPPU: *"Experiments in such diverse areas that offer potential high-impact particle physics programmes at laboratories in Europe should be supported, as well as participation in such experiments in other regions of the world."*
- Contributing to UK and EU inputs/collaborations for 2026 update. Answer to questions asked for ESPPU:

#### **Estimate of financial costs:**

- Muon g-2
	- Accelerator cheaper for proton EDM.
	- Ring will be about the same
	- R&D: \$5M
	- Construction: Muon g-2 \$80M.
	- Operations: \$5M per year.
- Adjust for pEDM:
	- R&D: £5M
	- Construction £100M
	- Operations: £5M per year

#### **Preferred location for the project**

- BNL (AGS).
- CERN (ISR) also considered. ISR used for FCC magnet R&D and CERN needs polarized protons.

#### **Main risks/obstacles for realisation of physics goals:**

- Location with high-intensity polarised proton source e.g. BNL.
- OR location with high-intensity proton source converted to polarised source.
- OR construction of new facility.

#### **Anticipated area(s) of UK involvement:**

- Electrostatic deflectors (electrodes)
- **Polarimeters**
- Alignment
- **Simulation**
- Analysis and physics exploitation

#### **Total number of FTE /year required for construction/operation. Expected UK FTE?**

Muon g-2: 96 FTE / year. UK: 13 FTE / year

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Call for collaborators**

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

Please contact me if interested or just to learn more about the project. There's a lot of interesting work to get involved in.

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Impact and Importance of pEDM**

- pEDM will be the state-of-the-art in experimental EDM searches for generations to come.
- First ever measurement of the proton EDM.
- Only experiment with the potential to measure a particle EDM down to its SM prediction (by improving on the current limit by  $O(10^6)$ ).
- Will either discover or rule out a particle EDM with a magnitude above the SM's highly suppressed value for the first time.
- Huge consequences for baryon asymmetry, DM and the Strong CP problem.
- Will expose or exclude many BSM models that predict large EDMs, e.g. supersymmetry, two-Higgs doublet models, extra dimensions with mass scale ranging from 1GeV – 1 PeV.
- Timely implications to inform the future international particle physics strategy and programme, e.g. FCC.
- New technology and novel experimental techniques with (hopefully) major UK involvement.

![](_page_52_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Improves on current (indirect) limit by  $> \mathcal{O}(10^4)$ .
- Has potential to to do  $\mathcal{O}(10^6)$  and be sensitive to SM prediction.
- Directly address/solves the strong CP problem.
	- Strong CP/pEDM  $\leftrightarrow$  Astro + Particle + Nuclear.
- Significant new physics drivers:

**MANCHESTER** 

The University of Manchester

- CP-violation source for Baryon Asymmetry.
- Sensitive probe for axionic dark-matter.
- Probe light-weak new particles  $\rightarrow$  PeV-scale new physics.
- No EDM would also be dramatic  $\rightarrow$  at SM limit.
- Major R&D completed / systematics understood.
- From TDR to final publication in < 20 years.
- One of the most low-cost/high-return proposals in particle physics today.

![](_page_53_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

Reminder: batteries are allowed in the SM!

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3-1. Timelines for the major current and planned EDM searches with their sensitivity to the important parameters of the effective field theory (see Fig. 3-2 for details). Solid (shaded) symbols indicate each experiment's primary (secondary) sensitivities. Measurement goals indicated by the black arrows are based on current plans of the various groups.

# Snowmass paper on EDMs

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Snowmass paper on EDMs

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

Effective E-field with polar molecules: order GV/cm

Figure: Laser-cooled polyatomic molecules, optically trapped, with full quantum control. Such a platform can be used to access new physics at the PeV scale.

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

FIG. 5. Electron EDM limits versus time, along with new physics reach for one-loop and two-loop effects (see Eq. 2). All electron EDM experiments to date use AMO techniques. The solid line indicates the most sensitive experimental limit, including the species used. The shaded area indicates potential future improvements discussed in the text. Improvements in the next few years are driven largely by improvements to existing experiments and are quite likely, though as we go more into the future the projection becomes increasingly speculative and uncertain.

![](_page_57_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **High intensity polarized proton Beam at BNL**

Proton intensity at Booster input 3\*10<sup>11</sup>. The vertical scale is normalized 95% emittance.

The corresponding normalized rms emittance at  $10^{11}$  is 0.7π horizontal, 1.0π vertical for horizontal scraping.

0

2

4

6

**pi mmmr**

8

10

12

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

Large statistics available, opportunity for great sensitivity improvement in EDMs<sup>59</sup>

#### Hybrid, symmetric lattice storage ring, designed by Val. Lebedev (FNAL)

Z. Omarov *et al.,* PHYS. REV. D **105**, 032001 (2022)

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

TABLE I. Ring and beam parameters for Symmetric Hybrid ring design

![](_page_59_Picture_261.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

# 1/24 section (15°) of pEDM ring

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

Section at F20 experimental blockhouse Note: ceiling elevation = 108" (9'-0")

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

Section at F20 experimental blockhouse Note: preliminary ring elevation (centerline) = 68.63"

# Magnetic field corrections/generation

• Outside coils to generate vertical, and radial magnetic fields. Perhaps longitudinal B-fields too.

- Correction coils are used to
	- Eliminate outside B-fields
	- Probe electric field multipoles
- Our correction coils should not generate unwanted longitudinal B-fields (needs to be specked)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Liverpool is designing the plates, and their support. Involved in their construction, high accuracy electric field estimations, methods to minimize them…

4m "Deflection" chamber partial section

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)