

Towards NNLO+ in Phokhara

In collaboration with William J. Torres Bobadilla, Tom Dave, Lois Flower, Fedor Ignatov, Pau Petit Rosas, Thomas Teubner, Yannick Ulrich and Graziano Venanzoni

Muon Precision Physics workshop 2024

Jérémy Paltrinieri

LEVERHULME TRUST_____

Liverpool, 14/11/2024

Overview

1. PHOKHARA status

State of the art, latest results

2. Towards NNLO theoretical predictions

Anatomy of the NNLO calculation, milestones and plan

3. Resummation of soft photons effects

YFS and CEEX formalisms, MC state of the art, extension of CEEX



Notivation

- Importance of hadron cross-sections measurements in g-2 determination
- Radiative corrections studies must be undertaken in order to clear up the tensions between experiments
- From radiative return experiments like KLOE, this means taking care of NNLO and all-order exponentiation effects: many challenges!

See Aidan W. and Achim D. talks





The Phokhara Monte Carlo Generator

- Phokhara simulates electron-positron annihilation into hadrons (and muons) at NLO accuracy. This includes virtual and soft photon corrections to one photon emission events and the emission of two real hard photons.
- Case of muons: complete NLO [arXiv:1312.3610]
- Case of pions: FxsQED for the pion treatment [Szymon Tracz PhD Thesis]
- Disclaimer: not discussing many other hadronic final states: 3π , 4π , NN, KK...



Status of Phokhara

- (October 2020)
- Strong2020 paper [2410.22882] and website



Current version: Phokhara v10.0: https://looptreeduality.csic.es/phokhara/

Last update: two remaining gauge-invariant contributions: FSRNLO & TVP

See Adrian S. talk



Status of Phokhara

- (October 2020)
- Last update: [1903.10197]

ments. We calculate the last radiative corrections for the extraction of the pion form factor, which were believed to be potentially substantial enough to explain the data within the Standard Model. We find that the corrections are too small to diminish existing discrepancies in the determination of the pion form factor for different kinematical configurations of low-energy BABAR, BESS-III and KLOE experiments. Consequently, they cannot noticeably change the previous predictions for a_{μ}

Current version: Phokhara v10.0: https://looptreeduality.csic.es/phokhara/



Validation of Phokhara $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$

- Evaluation of 5 and 6-point amplitudes (real and virtual)
- Tools from the Amplitude community

Construction of Amplitudes (Qgraph/FeynArts,...), numerical evaluation of integrals (QCDLoop,...) and amplitudes (OpenLoops,...)

• Analytic validation of $\mathscr{A}^{NLO}(e^+e^-)$ regularisation: $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$.

$$- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma = \frac{c_{-1}}{\epsilon} + c_0$$
 in dimensional

Validation of Phokhara $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$



Large angle

William @KEK2024



Small angle

Towards NNLO Anatomy of the fixed order calculation

LO: Born Level



NLO: Real + Virtual



NNLO: Double Real + Virtual-Real + Double Virtual







Towards NNLO

- Important achievement: NNLO for electron-muon scattering: [2106.13179]
- Massless electron: 4-point process depends on three scales s, t, u
- Analytic evaluation of loop interferences
- Needed for muon-e experiment
- Massification was achieved in [2212.06481]

See M. Bonetti's talk for N3LO





Different MC generators

Different MC take into account different effects beyond FO:

- Collinear structure
- Parton shower
- YFS, CEEX

Yannick @KEK2024

code		$ee ightarrow \mu \mu$
AfkQed	$+\gamma$	LO+CS
BabaYaga@NLO		NLO+PS
	$+\gamma$	LO+PS
KKMC		CEEX
	$+\gamma$	CEEX
MCGPJ		NLO+CS
	$+\gamma$	LO+CS
McMule		NNLO
	$+\gamma$	NLO
Dhakhara		
FIIOKIIara	$+\gamma$	NLO
Sherpa		NLO+YFS
	$+\gamma$	NLO

Soft photon resummation

- Sheds another light on missing higher-order effects
- YFS formalism: resummation of soft photons effects
- 2-loop integrals are notoriously hard: approximations provide insight!

YFS exponentiation



YFS exponentiation [Yennie, Frauschi, Suura Annals Phys. 13 (1961) 379-452]

Insight on the structure of IR divergences in QED at all-order

Separation of divergences at the cross-section level in an exponential factor

• No collinear singularities

Dependence on all fermion/hadron masses must be taken into account

All divergences are associated with emission off external legs

Fermion line where *n* virtual photons are produced (no real emissions):



Add another virtual photon:



(a)





16

IR divergent pieces:



Finite (when combined in a GI way)



Mathematically, this implies:

$$\mathscr{M}_{0}^{n_{\gamma}} = \sum_{r=0}^{n_{\gamma}} M_{0}^{n_{\gamma}-r} \frac{(\alpha B)^{r}}{r!} \text{ which implie}$$

B contains the IR divergences associated to the diagrams I showed before

Note: this is at the amplitude level!



IR divergent

Virtual IR safe

YFS exponentiation Real emissions



(a)





(c)

YFS exponentiation Real emissions





IR divergent pieces



Finite

(c)

YFS exponentiation **Real emissions**

Mathematically, this implies: $|M_1|^2 = \tilde{\beta}_1 + \alpha \tilde{S} |M_0|^2$ $|M_2|^2 = \tilde{\beta}_2 + \alpha \tilde{S} |M_1|^2 + (\alpha \tilde{S})^2 |M_0|^2$

S contains the IR divergences associated to the diagrams I showed before

It is an on-shell Eikonal factor. Note: this is now done at the amplitude squared level.

"1 real photon = finite + eikonal times x Born"

Iterate this!

YFS exponentiation **Master Formula**

Combine all the ingredients:



Form factor Real phase-space integration with Eikonals **Regulated matrix elements**

$d\sigma = \sum_{n_{\gamma}=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\alpha(B+\tilde{B}(\Omega))}}{n_{\gamma}!} d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_{i}^{\gamma} \tilde{S}(k_{i})\Theta(k_{i},\Omega)\right] \left(\tilde{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{j})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_{i}^{\gamma} \tilde{S}(k_{i})\Theta(k_{i},\Omega)\right] \left(\tilde{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{j})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_{i}^{\gamma} \tilde{S}(k_{i})\Theta(k_{i},\Omega)\right] \left(\tilde{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{j})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_{i}^{\gamma} \tilde{S}(k_{i})\Theta(k_{i},\Omega)\right] \left(\tilde{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{j})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_{i}^{\gamma} \tilde{S}(k_{i})\Theta(k_{i},\Omega)\right] \left(\tilde{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{j})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{j})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})}\right) d\Phi_{Q} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\tilde{\beta}_{1}(k_{i})}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac{1}{\tilde{S}(k_{i})} + \frac$



YFS exponentiation **Master Formula**

$$d\sigma = \sum_{\substack{n_{\gamma}=0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\alpha(B+\tilde{B}(\Omega))}}{n_{\gamma}!} d\Phi_Q \left[\prod_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n_{\gamma}} d\Phi_i^{\gamma} \right]$$

Implemented in Sherpa [2203.10948] and KKMC [0006359]

YFS Resummation for Future Lepton-Lepton Colliders in SHERPA

F. Krauss^a, A. Price^b, and M. Schönherr^a

$\tilde{S}(k_i)\Theta(k_i, \Omega)$ $\left| \tilde{\beta}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\gamma}} \frac{\beta_1(k_i)}{\tilde{S}(k_i)} + \cdots \right|$

Coherent Exclusive Exponentiation For Precision Monte Carlo Calculations[†]

S. Jadach^{a,b}, B.F.L. Ward^{a,c} and Z. Wąs^{b,d}



YFS exponentiation **Plan for PHOKHARA**

Implement CEEX in Phokhara for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ with better β

Reformulate the formalism in dim-reg

instead of using a finite photon mass See [0108255]

A Practical Approach for Exponentiation of QED Corrections in Arbitrary Processes

GIAMPIERO PASSARINO^{*}



Figure 5: Missing second order diagrams.

Conclusion

- Work was done to verify the results of Phokhara and for proof of concept
- Work is undergoing to reach NNLO theoretical predictions for radiative return processes with muons
- Work on loop integrals can be used in the CEEX resummation procedure to provide better IR safe matrix elements $\tilde{\beta}$

hanks!