







The Mu2e experiment S. Di Falco (INFN Pisa) 3rd Muon Precision Physics Workshop Liverpool, 12-14 Nov 2024



$\mu^{\mbox{-}}N \rightarrow e^{\mbox{-}}N$ process: current limit and discovery potential



Mu2e looks for the neutrinoless conversion of a μ^{-} into e^{-} in the field of an Al atom

Muonic aluminum **lifetime is ~864 ns**

The conversion signal is an almost **monochromatic electron of ~105 MeV**

Experimental observable:
$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^- + N \rightarrow e^- + N)}{\Gamma(\mu^- + N \rightarrow all captures)}$$

90% CL limit (SINDRUM II (2006), Au target*): **R**_{µe}<**7**·**10**⁻¹³

SM extended for $m_v \neq 0$ predicts $R_{\mu e} < 10^{-50}$ but some **BSM theories** allow for values **O(10^{-15})**

Mu2e goal is to improve by a factor 10^4 the sensitivity on $R_{\mu e}$

Good discovery potential!

How to get a 10⁴ improvement

STATISTICAL ERROR:

(Collect more stopped muons):

- High intensity proton beam → Radiation hardness
- High collection efficiency → Magnetic focusing

SYSTEMATIC ERROR

(Improve signal efficiency and background rejection):

- Excellent momentum resolution → Little material
- Exploit muonic atom lifetime
- Reject cosmic rays
- Particle identification
- Momentum scale calibration
- In situ background measurement → low intensity &

- → Pulsed p beam
- → Veto system
- → Tracker+ECAL
- $\rightarrow \pi^+$ beam, B map
 - B field runs 3

How to search for CLFV muon conversion



 Production

 ρ

 π-K

 φ

 other particles

1) Create muon parents smashing an pulsed proton beam on a target

How to search for CLFV muon conversion



1) Create muon parents smashing an pulsed proton beam on a target

2) Use a magnetic system to select negative particles of wanted charge and momentum



How to search for CLFV muon conversion



1) Create muon parents smashing an pulsed proton beam on a target

2) Use a magnetic system to select negative particles of wanted charge and momentum

3) Stop $\mu^{\text{-}}$ in an Al target and look for monochromatic conversion electrons

6



The Mu2e experimental apparatus: the proton beam



The same Fermilab accelerator system used for g-2 provides the protons for Mu2e:



5.7 10¹² p/s

The Mu2e experimental apparatus: the proton beam



The same Fermilab accelerator system used for g-2 provides the protons for Mu2e:



First protons in the last segment of Mu2e beam line in August 2023:





8

The Mu2e production solenoid (PS)



1) Production Solenoid:

- 8 GeV proton beam from the right
- houses a tungsten target
- a graded magnetic field drives low momentum particles downstream
- Assembly complete
- Cooling test ongoing
- Expected delivery: December 2024



The Tungsten Production target



Target Geometry

Must resist to $5.7 \cdot 10^{12}$ protons/s

Gaps and fins to help heat dissipation

Maximum T~1100 °C

The production target with its support structure



The Mu2e transport solenoid



2) Transport solenoid:

- small magnetic field gradient to avoid trapped particles

The Mu2e transport solenoid (TS)



Swivel collimator

2) Transport solenoid:

- small magnetic field gradient to avoid trapped particles
- internal swivel collimators to select -/+ particles of wanted momentum
- thin absober windows to reduce antiproton background

TS installed in Mu2e hall (February 2024)







TS installed in Mu2e hall (February 2024)







The Mu2e detector solenoid (DS)



3) Detector Solenoid (11 coils):

- Contains the Al muon stopping target surrounded by proton/neutron absorbers
- field gradient increases acceptance
- 1 T uniform field in detectors region
- cold mass assembled
- thermal shield assembly under way
- expected delivery: May 2025

DS cold mass (11 coils)



DS outer shield

The Aluminum muon stopping target



The stopping target:

37 foils of Al
105 μm thick
75 mm radius
22 mm central hole radius



The segmented geometry helps to reduce electron energy losses (improving momentum resolution)

Hollow geometry to reduce radiation in the detector

The pulsed proton beam structure



Pulsed Proton Beam Structure: Proton pulse period: 1.695 μ s ~ $2\tau_{\mu}^{Al}$ (τ_{μ}^{Al} = 864 ns) Delayed analysis window to suppress prompt backgrounds Out of bunch proton fraction ("extinction factor") < 10⁻¹⁰ (measured by an extinction monitor downstream of the beam)

The Cosmic Background



About 1 cosmic event/day can mimic a 105 MeV electron

Mu2e Cosmic Ray Veto system (CRV)



4 layers of scintillator counter modules read by WLS and SiPMs



All 83 modules have been built and are under test to determine efficiency and aging rate



CRV cover DS and Lower TS



3 out of 4 layers provide a **veto efficiency** on charged partciles **>99.99%**

Mu2e straw tube tracker (STT)



Tracker straw tubes 5 mm diameter 15μm mylar wall 80:20 ArCO₂ gas mixture 25 μm W wire @1450V ADC & TDC at both ends



216 panels (made of straws) 36 planes (6 panels each) 18 stations (2 planes each)



Tracker structure

18 stations of 12 panels (~21000 straw tubes)



All panels and planes assembled. Leakage test ongoing... Expected completion and installation in Mu2e building: **spring 2025**

An unsupectable source of gas leak

13 pin holes discovered across 7 leaking panels from Oct '23 to Jan '24



Chemical corrosion excluded...

Pin holes

An unsupectable source of gas leak

13 pin holes discovered across 7 leaking panels from Oct '23 to Jan '24



Pin holes



Box bug rostrum

Holes were created by **boxelder bugs**!

Terrarium setup to reproduce the damage. Working with Entomologists to protect the clean room and develop protection techniques for Mu2e Hall.





Tracker measured and expected performances









Mu2e electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)



2 disks spaced by 70 cm 374 < radius < 660 mm

> 674 pure CsI crystals/disk 2 arrays of 6 SiPMs/crystal

FEE connected to SiPMs





SVSte



Vacuun

Secondar

distribution

To Disk 2

Control and digital electronics in the crates attached to disks

Pipes for radioactive liquid calibration in front of disks

Laser system for energy and time calibration



Control board

Digitizer board

Mu2e electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL)

[mm] 800

> 400

600

200

-200

-400

-600

-800

-600

-400

-200







Installation in Mu2e hall: winter 2024

Calorimeter tasks:



Simplify and improve track pattern recognition



ECAL performances



A module 0 prototype with

- 51 crystals
- 102 SiPMs+FEE boards
- a commercial digitizer
- Cooling lines for SiPMs

The 2017 test with a beam in Frascati has measured for 100 MeV e- with 50° impact angle*:

$\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E} = 7.3\%$





* "Electron beam test of the large area Mu2e calorimeter prototype", J.Phys.Conf.Ser. 1162 (2019) 1, 012027

Particle identification (combining Tracker & ECAL)



Time of flight:

extrapolated track time (assuming electron mass) – calorimeter cluster time



E/p:

Calorimeter cluster energy / track momentum

An **ANN selection** including other topologycal information makes the **cosmic muons** background **negligible** with respect to the irriducible background due to **cosmic generated e**⁻

Electron momentum: Decays in Orbit suppression



The Decay In Orbit (**DIO**) spectrum falls as $(E_{max}-E)^5$ close to the end point

Can be suppressed by the momentum window cut

Electron time: radiative pion capture suppression



Radiative Pion Captures (RPC) in the AI target producing photons converting in e⁺e pairs can be suppressed by a time window cut Also delayed pions coming from **antiproton** annihilation can be suppressed

29

Time and momentum windows have been optimized to get the best discovery sensitivity

Mu2e expected backgrounds for Run 1 (assuming 6·10¹⁶ stopped muons, mostly at half proton beam intensity*)

Channel	Mu2e Run I
SES	$2.4 imes10^{-16}$
Cosmic rays	$0.046 \pm 0.010 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.009 \text{ (syst)}$
DIO	0.038 ± 0.002 (stat) $^{+0.025}_{-0.015}$ (syst)
Antiprotons	$0.010 \pm 0.003 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.010 \text{ (syst)}$
RPC in-time	0.010 ± 0.002 (stat) $^{+0.001}_{-0.003}$ (syst)
RPC out-of-time ($\zeta = 10^{-10}$)	$(1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ (stat)} \stackrel{+0.1}{_{-0.3}} \text{ (syst)}) \times 10^{-3}$
RMC	$< 2.4 imes 10^{-3}$
Decays in flight	$< 2 imes 10^{-3}$
Beam electrons	$< 1 imes 10^{-3}$
Total	0.105 ± 0.032

* More details in "Mu2e Run I Sensitivity Projections for the Neutrinoless mu- --> e- Conversion Search in Aluminum", Universe 9 (2023) 1, 54 (38 pages) http://arxiv.org/abs/2210.11380

Mu2e expected sensitivity for Run 1

Given the very low background level a **5** σ **discovery** will require Mu2e to observe just **5** events of muon conversion

The $R_{\mu e}$ corresponding to a **5** σ **discovery** in Run 1 is:

$$R_{\mu e} = 1.1 \cdot 10^{-15} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Mu2e Run 1} \\ \text{5σ discovery} \end{array}$$

If no events will be observed the 90% CL limit will be:

$$R_{\mu e} < 6.2 \cdot 10^{-16} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Mu2e Run 1} \\ \text{90\% CL limit} \end{array}$$

that is more than x1000 better than current best limit!

Summary and outook

- Mu2e tracker and calorimeter will be installed in the detector hall by spring 2025. Detector commissioning with cosmics will start soon after.
- Mu2e Run I is expected to start in 2027 and to improve Sindrum II sensitivity by a factor 10³.
- Mu2e Run II will start after the shutdown for neutrino beam upgrade, presumably in 2029, aiming to achieve the final 10⁴ improvement goal.
- A Mu2e upgrade proposal (Mu2e II), inserted in the Snow Mass white paper (*arXiv:2203.07569v2*), aims to exploit the higher intensity and lower energy PIP-II proton beam to obtain a further x10 improvement in sensitivity.

Mu2e Collaboration (February 2024)



Thank you!

BACKUP

$\mu\text{-} \rightarrow \ e^+$ expected sensitivity

Mu2e will also be able to search for the CLFV and **lepton number violating** (Δ L=2) process:

$$\mu^{-}N(A,Z) \to e^{+}N(A,Z-2)$$



The current limit on $R_{\mu e^+}$ is $1.7 \cdot 10^{-12}$ (Sindrum II '98).



Also for this channel **if the error is dominated by signal statistics** Mu2e should achieve a factor 10³ improvement in Run 1 and a factor 10⁴ after Run 2

$\mu\text{-} \rightarrow \ e^{+}$ possible limitation to sensitivity

Sindrum II $\mu^- \rightarrow e^-$ 2006 paper also shows the positron spectrum (not elsewhere published):

Positron spectrum shows an unexpected bump in the signal region

An a posteriori convolution of the signal with the Sindrum II momentum resolution, including energy losses, shows that the bump is not compatible with the signal (arXiv:2009.00214v1)

A possible explanation could be the Radiative Muon Capture (RMC) γ spectrum model. The commonly used closure approximation: $dN/dx \sim (1-2x+2x^2) \cdot x \cdot (1-x)^2$, $x = k / k_{max}$ assumes an end point k_{max} . TRIUMF data fit gives an end point lower than the kinematic limit.

If the photon spectrum extends beyond k_{max} $\mu^{-} \rightarrow e^{+}$ sensitivity could be much worse.

RMC γ photon spectrum will be measured during Mu2e Run I.





COMET Phase α @ JPARC



<u>2023:</u>

- Measure π/μ yield
- Validate transported π spectrum



COMET Phase I @ JPARC



<u>2025-2026:</u>

- Construct up to first 90° bend and place detector.
- Perform direct beam measurement:
 - No backward σ_{π} data so far
 - No real BG data so far
- Perform µ-e Search with an intermediate sensitivity O(10⁻¹⁵)

COMET Phase II @ JPARC



<u>2029(?):</u>

- Complete all transport
- Perform µ-e search with a full sensitivity O(10⁻¹⁷)