FCC IN A NUTSHELL

Timeline

- **2025**: Release of the FCC Feasibility Study report
- **2028**: Decision by CERN Member States and international partners

Tunnel

- **90.7 km** circumference
- **180 – 400 m** depths for access shafts
- **8 surface sites** (7 in France, 1 in Switzerland)

Two stages

- **FCC-ee** (precision measurements) about 15 years from the **late 2040s**
- **FCC-hh** (high energy) about 25 years from the **2070s**

Costs/benefits

- **15 billion CHF**, spread over about **12 years** for FCC-ee with four experiments
- **Positive** socio-economic benefit–cost ratio
- About **800 000** person-years of employment created



We have made several contributions to the European Strategy and the newly publish feasibility report https://cds.cern.ch/record/2928193

Electroweak precision at FCC ee

Observable	present value	±	uncertainty	FCC-ee **Stat.**	FCC-ee Syst.	Comment and leading uncertainty
m_Z (keV)	91 187 600	±	2000	**4**	100	From Z line shape scan Beam energy calibration
Γ_Z (keV)	2 495 500	±	2300	**4**	12	From Z line shape scan Beam energy calibration
$\sin^2\theta_W^{\text{eff}}$ $(\times 10^6)$	231,480	±	160	**1.2**	1.2	From $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ at Z peak Beam energy calibration
$1/\alpha_{QED}(m_Z^2)$ $(\times 10^3)$	128 952	±	14	**3.9** **0.8**	small tbc	From $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ off peak From $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ on peak QED&EW uncert. dominate
R_ℓ^Z $(\times 10^3)$	20 767	±	25	**0.05**	0.05	Ratio of hadrons to leptons Acceptance for leptons
$\alpha_S(m_Z^2)$ $(\times 10^4)$	1 196	±	30	**0.1**	1	Combined R_ℓ^Z, Γ_{tot}^Z, σ_{had}^0 fit
σ_{had}^0 $(\times 10^3)$ (nb)	41 480.2	±	32.5	**0.03**	0.8	Peak hadronic cross section Luminosity measurement
$N_\nu(\times 10^3)$	2 996.3	±	7.4	**0.09**	0.12	Z peak cross sections Luminosity measurement
R_b $(\times 10^6)$	216 290	±	660	**0.25**	0.3	Ratio of $b\bar{b}$ to hadrons
$A_{FB}^{b,0}$ $(\times 10^4)$	992	±	16	**0.04**	0.04	b-quark asymmetry at Z pole From jet charge

- Huge improvement in EW precision
- Mainly from running at Z pole
- Very hard for EW scale New Physics to evade this tests!

Higgs at FCC ee and hh

Coupling	HL-LHC	FCC-ee	FCC-ee + FCC-hh
κ_Z (%)	1.3*	0.10	0.10
κ_W (%)	1.5*	0.29	0.25
κ_b (%)	2.5*	0.38 / 0.49	0.33 / 0.45
κ_g (%)	2*	0.49 / 0.54	0.41 / 0.44
κ_τ (%)	1.6*	0.46	0.40
κ_c (%)	–	0.70 / 0.87	0.68 / 0.85
κ_γ (%)	1.6*	1.1	0.30
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ (%)	10*	4.3	0.67
κ_t (%)	3.2*	3.1	0.75
κ_μ (%)	4.4*	3.3	0.42
$\lvert\kappa_s\rvert$ (%)	–	$^{+29}_{-67}$	$^{+29}_{-67}$
Γ_H (%)	–	0.78	0.69
$\mathcal{B}_{inv}$ (<, 95% CL)	1.9×10^{-2} *	5×10^{-4}	2.3×10^{-4}
$\mathcal{B}_{unt}$ (<, 95% CL)	4×10^{-2} *	6.8×10^{-3}	6.7×10^{-3}

- Up to a ×10 improvement in many couplings
- Son
- At Liverpool we look at:
  - muon and invisible at FCC ee
  - diHiggs at FCC hh

Di-Higgs at FCC hh

Lennox Wood (Mphys), Sam Valentine (Mphys), Monica D'Onofrio, Carl Gwilliam, Jordy Degens, Cristiano Sebastiani, Nikos Rompotis







(a) lep-had (b) had-had

Fig. 5: Density distribution of the GNN output scores for the lep-had (left) and had-had (right) channel.

- Look in bbττ channel
- Improve analysis by using Graph Neural Network
- Precision of 3%

Higgs to invisible at FCC ee

Stephen Randles, Andy Mehta, Nikos Rompotis



(c) qq channel

- Can fully reconstruct Higgs mass
- Analyses hadronic and leptonic channels
- At two beam energies
- Can measure the SM BF to 3 sigma
- Most stringent limits on New physics in the Higgs sector IMHO



FCC eh

Monica D'Onofrio, Uta Klein, Max Klein



- Work on LHeC as a bridge project for FCC eh
- Contribution to the European Strategy
- Also work done on the feasibility of having a Forward physics facility at FCC