# ECal-based particle ID for neutrino-nucleus interaction measurements in ND280

Gabriel Penn





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

19/12/2019

### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 The ND280 detector



ND280: off-axis near detector for T2K. Designed to measure chargedand neutral-current neutrino interaction rates and kinematics.

- ► Fine-grained detectors (FGDs): active targets
- Time projection chambers (TPCs): charged particle tracking and ID
- Electromagnetic calorimeter (ECal): neutral particle reconstruction, charged particle ID

(日)

### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280

Charged-current  $\nu_{\mu}$  interactions in ND280

Muon-(anti)neutrino interacts with nucleus via CC weak interaction. FSIs mean we can only see the particles that leave the nucleus (topology): an (anti)muon and some combination of other particles: pions, protons, neutrons, etc.

Categorise based on number of pions in final state:

- CC0pi: no pions in the final state (includes CCQE)
- CC1pi: one charged pion  $(\pi^+ \text{ for } \nu_{\mu}, \pi^- \text{ for } \bar{\nu}_{\mu})$
- CC-other: any other configuration of pions

Measuring the cross-sections of these interactions improves our understanding of neutrino interactions and helps reduce systematic error in T2K oscillation measurements. My focus is on CC1pi – new channel for oscillation analysis.

### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 TPC PID

PID in ND280 is done mainly using the TPCs:  $dE/dx\ curves.$  Shortcomings:

- dE/dx curves overlap at some energies
- TPC information not always available high-angle tracks
- In particular, bad at distinguishing muons from pions

Using only TPC PID, CC1pi muon candidates suffer from pion contamination: 7% ( $\nu_{\mu}$ ) and 22% ( $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ ).

ECal is an excellent alternative source of PID information.





### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 ECal PID

Shape and distribution of ECal charge cluster provide useful PID information. I am working to develop PID cuts using this information, to improve the quality of ND280's event selections.

I am focusing on muon/pion discrimination: muon candidate is central to all  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  CC selections, and TPC cannot remove pion background.

Muons are MIPs: pass through ECal leaving a straight track. Pions may either pass through as MIPs or shower (roughly 50:50). If my PID cuts can separate tracks from pion showers, we can expect to remove as much as  $\sim$ 50% of the pion background.





### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 ECal segment efficiency



MC study of ECal efficiency for  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC selection muon candidate:

- Muons have higher ECal efficiency than pions
- Efficiency increases with momentum, then plateaus
- Pion efficiency increases with angle





• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ •

## ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 ECal variables for $\mu/\pi$ discrimination



Two main candidate variables so far:

- MipPion: calculated via neural network; designed to distinguish MIPs from pion showers using charge shape and distribution
- Energy (or charge) deposit/track length: expect a constant value for MIPs, varying for non-MIPs





(日)

## ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 ECal variables for $\mu/\pi$ discrimination (contd.)



MC predicted distributions of 'EDeposit' (charge deposit) divided by 'Length' (ECal track length).

Anomaly can be seen in the relationship between these variables for true muons: some appear to be deviating from expected MIP behaviour.





(二)、

### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 ECal charge-length anomaly



- ▶ 5% of true muons deviate from expected constant EDeposit/Length
- Anomalous muons correspond exactly to those tagged as showers by reconstruction
- Investigation revealed that EDeposit variable is actually getting filled with two different quantities: charge deposit for tracks, fitted EM energy for showers (different units!)





イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 EM energy vs Length



Use EM energy instead – muon distribution looks as expected.





### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280

EM energy/length for muon/pion discrimination



 $\mathsf{MC}$  predicted distributions of fitted  $\mathsf{EM}$  energy divided by  $\mathsf{ECal}$  track length.

Distributions look as expected and show sufficient separation for PID cut.





### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 EM energy/length and MipPion: correlation



MipPion and energy/length both show promise as muon/pion discriminators, and weak correlation so both can be cut on effectively.





• □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ < < □ ▶ <

#### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 Next steps and further work

- ▶ Develop PID cuts for  $\mu/\pi$  discrimination using these ECal variables
- Develop a standard pion PID using ECal and TPC information
- Possibility of a 'global' PID, combining information from TPCs, ECal and FGDs
- $\blacktriangleright$  Use PID tools to develop an improved  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  CC1pi- selection and measure cross-section





### ECal PID for neutrino-nucleus interactions in ND280 Other activities



- Responsible for ECal scintillator bar equalisation calibration
- Currently on LTA at J-PARC as TTD (ECal) detector expert
- Worked two weeks of maintenance shifts in Super-Kamiokande







Thank you for your attention!







・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日