

# An Oscillation Analysis of the latest $\nu/\overline{\nu}$ T2K Dataset

Presented by Jaiden Parlone Supervised by: Prof. Costas Andreopoulos & Prof. Neil McCauley



+

Jaiden Parlone



#### Francis Bench



VALOR-T2K arises from the 3 analyser eigenstates

T2K (Tokai to Kamioka) is a long-baseline neutrino experiment that utilises multiple detectors in the goal of measuring the properties of neutrinos and their oscillations.





- Off-axis water-based Cerenkov far detector.
- Topology based PID.

event.

• CCQE dominant interactions.



Cerenkov rings detected at SK. (a) is a muon event, (b) is an electron





- Magnetised composite near detector.
- Off-axis (replicates SK energy spectra).
- Constrains flux and crosssection uncertainties.





- 'Off axis' beam tuned to 0.6 GeV for oscillation max at SK.
- Produces pure  $v_{\mu}/\bar{v}_{\mu}$  flux.
- Able to be run in  $\nu$  or  $\bar{\nu}$  mode.

## We look to constrain the neutrino oscillation parameters;

- θ<sub>23</sub>
- $\Delta m_{32}^2 (|\Delta m_{31}^2|)$
- θ<sub>13</sub>
- $\delta_{CP}$ , the CP violating phase factor.

We achieve this through analysis of the  $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  disappearance and  $\nu_{e}/\bar{\nu}_{e}$  appearance channels.

Oscillation analysis requires inputs from many parts of the overall model.





- To achieve results, our Monte-Carlo model predictions are compared to our observed data.
- Our model is split into 5 samples, seen on the right.
- The latest T2K dataset (Run 1-10) was obtained with a total exposure of  $1.99(1.65) \times 10^{21}$  Protons on Target in  $\nu(\overline{\nu})$  mode.
- 94 1-Ring  $v_e$  events were observed.
- Currently e-like events are binned in 2D, E-θ, and μ-like in 1D, E.
- E.  $\theta$  (lepton angle) dimensionality provides increased  $\nu/\overline{\nu}$  separation (among other benefits).



### Additional binning studies

Adding a courser  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  kinematic binning:

- Gives greater constraint on  $\Delta m_{32}^2$  (and minorly  $\theta_{23}$ ).
- Greater CC  $v_{\mu} + \bar{v}_{\mu}$  from NC separation, and ad-hoc parameter constraint.

CPU time per job

12

**14** 

10

16

18

• Balance needed against CPU time.

100 F

80

60

40

20

No. of Jobs



#### Speaking of constraints...

These are official results that mirror those released at Neutrino 2020, and are from our T2K internal tech note.

- Binned log-likelihood method compares predicted and observed event spectra over parameter space.
- Systematics (and nuisance oscillation parameters) are marginalised over using their prior constraints.
- This leaves us with a likelihood dependent only on parameters of interest.
- Confidence intervals are constructed using const.  $\Delta X^2$  (left) or Feldman-Cousins (right).



Analysis next steps:

- Re-analysing the data with model/method updates.
- Analysis of the Run1-11 data, being taken (roughly) now!

Future of LBL in Japan:

- Upgraded beam power to 750 kW (2022) & 1.3MW (2029). This means more data with each run!
- Near Detector Suite Upgrade with many additional reconstruction benefits.
- The Hyper-Kamiokande experiment (a separate branch of VALOR).



Acknowledgements: My comrades in code on the VALOR-T2K fitting framework, Francis Bench and Maria Antonova, as well as my co-collaborators in the OA group and beyond.

## Merry April!



For sensitivity studies (among other purposes) a fake 'Asimov' dataset is generated using different values:

| Predicted Oscillation Hypothesis |  |  |   | Observed   |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| No osc.                          | Asimov A   | Asimov B   | Asimov BF NO  | Observed   |
| 1571.4                           | 345.5  | 361.8  | 354.0   | 318  |
| 19.6                             | 93.8   | 69.8   | 95.2  | 94   |
| 444.5                            | 135.1  | 138.8  | 137.9   | 137  |
| 6.3                              | 15.9   | 16.4   | 16.9  | 16   |
| 2.9                              | 8.8  | 6.8  | 8.9   | 14   |
|                                  | No osc.<br>1571.4<br>19.6<br>444.5<br>6.3<br>2.9 | Predicted   No osc. Asimov A   1571.4 345.5   19.6 93.8   444.5 135.1   6.3 15.9   2.9 8.8 | Predicted Oscillation I   No osc. Asimov A Asimov B   1571.4 345.5 361.8   19.6 93.8 69.8   444.5 135.1 138.8   6.3 15.9 16.4   2.9 8.8 6.8 | No osc. Asimov A Asimov B Asimov BF NO   1571.4 345.5 361.8 354.0   19.6 93.8 69.8 95.2   444.5 135.1 138.8 137.9   6.3 15.9 16.4 16.9   2.9 8.8 6.8 8.9 |







- So that oscillation parameters can be constrained with accuracy, uncertainties need to be understood.
- The Near-Detector provides the Oscillation Analysis with a correlated flux and cross-section model & respective error covariance matrix.
- The Far Detector provides the Oscillation Analysis with a detector error constraint from atmospheric data, and more complex interaction systematics (Secondary interactions and Photonuclear effect).



|  | Parameter(s)   | Prior PDF    | Range   |
|--|--|--------------|---|
|  | $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$                                 | Uniform      | [0.3, 0.7]  |
|  | $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ T2K-only                        | Uniform      | [0, 0.4]  |
|  | $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ reactors                       | Gaussian     | $0.0853 \pm 0.0027$   |
|  | $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$                                | Gaussian     | $0.851 \pm 0.020$   |
|  | $\Delta m^2_{32}$ (NO) / $ \Delta m^2_{31} $ (IO)    | Uniform      | $[2.3, 2.8] \times 10^{-3} \ {\rm eV^2/c^4}$                    |
|  | $\Delta m_{21}^2$                                    | Gaussian     | $(7.53 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2/\text{c}^4$        |
|  | $\delta_{CP}$  | Uniform      | $[-\pi,+\pi]$   |
|  | Mass Ordering  | Fixed        | NO or IO  |
|  |  |              |   |
| Parameter  | r(s) of interest                                     | Number of Po | ints Range  |
| $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$                                     |  | 101          | [0.3, 0.7]  |
| $\sin^2 \theta_{13} T_{2}$                               | 2K-only  | 101          | [0.007, 0.053]  |
| $ \Delta m_{32}^2 $ (N                                   | NO) / $ \Delta m_{31}^2 $ (IO)                       | 101          | $[2.2, 2.8] \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2/\text{c}^4$             |
| $\delta_{CP}$  |  | 101          | $[-\pi,\pi]$  |
| $\sin^2 \theta_{23},  2$                                 | $\Delta m_{32}^2  $ (NO) / $ \Delta m_{31}^2  $ (IO) | $81\times51$ | $[0.3, 0.7], [2.2, 2.8] \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2/\text{c}^4$ |
| $\sin^2 \theta_{13},  \delta_0$                          | $_{CP}$ T2K-only                                     | $81\times51$ | $[0.007, 0.053], [-\pi, \pi]$                                   |
| $\sin^2 \theta_{13},  \delta_{CP}  \mathrm{T2K+reactor}$ |  | $81\times51$ | $[0.015, 0.036], [-\pi, \pi]$                                   |
| $\sin^{-}\theta_{13}, \theta_{0}$                        | <i>CP</i> 12 <b>K</b> +reactor                       | 91 X 91      | $[0.015, 0.030], [-\pi, \pi]$                                   |

Prior distributions that nuisance oscillation parameters are marginalised over.

Number of points across parameter(s) of interest space where a likelihood is constructed.

