Experimental Inputs to HVP with Initial State Radiation

Riccardo Aliberti

Workshop on Muon Precision Physics

Liverpool, 7-9 November 2022

Muon (g-2): SM and Experiment





$e^+e^- \to had$



[Data from: Phys.Rep 887 (2020) 1-166]

$\gamma^{(*)}\gamma^{(*)} \to hadrons$

- FNAL confirms tension with (dispersive) SM (4.2σ!)
- Uncertainty dominated by HVP and HLbL
- Tension also between Lattice and Dispersive HVP
- Better understanding strictly needed!

Initial State Radiation: Scan at Fixed Energy

$$a_\mu^{HVP,LO} = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_{m_\pi^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{K(s)}{s} R(s)$$

[Brodsky, de Rafael, 1988]



- Dominated by low energy region
- Not accessible in scan mode
- Initial State Radiation (ISR)





$$\sqrt{s'} = \sqrt{s - 2\sqrt{s}E_\gamma}$$

- Effectively reduces √s
- Emission suppressed by $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}$
- Radiator function relates ISR to non-radiative process

$$\frac{d\sigma_{ISR}(\sqrt{s'})}{d\sqrt{s'}} = \frac{2\sqrt{s'}}{s} W\left(s, E_\gamma, \theta_\gamma\right) \sigma(\sqrt{s'})$$

Initial State Radiation: Scan at Fixed Energy



- ✓ Access to threshold region
- ✓ Normalization fixed over full range
- ✓ Consistent data-taking conditions
- ✗ Limited energy resolution
- ✗ Knowledge of radiator function
- ✗ FSR contributions

HVP evaluation <2 GeV mostly determined by ISR:

- $\pi^+\pi^-$ (80%): KLOE (0.6%) & BaBar (0.7%) | CMD2(0.8%) & SND (1%)
- $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (7%): BaBar (1.3%) | SND (4%)
- K^+K^- (3%): BaBar (1.2%) | CMD3 (2%), SND (7%)

Initial State Radiation: Scan at Fixed Energy



- ✓ Access to threshold region
- ✓ Normalization fixed over full range
- ✓ Consistent data-taking conditions
- ✗ Limited energy resolution
- ✗ Knowledge of radiator function
- ✗ FSR contributions

HVP evaluation <2 GeV mostly determined by ISR:

- $\pi^+\pi^-$ (80%): KLOE (0.6%) & BaBar (0.7%) | CMD2(0.8%) & SND (1%)
- $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ (7%): BaBar (1.3%) | SND (4%)
- K^+K^- (3%): BaBar (1.2%) | CMD3 (2%), SND (7%)

Initial State Radiation: Analysis Strategy

Detect full hadronic system

Untagged analysis





Tagged analysis



ISR photon undetected

- High statistics, small background
- No FSR
- Only higher masses accessible

ISR photon detected

- Access to had. threshold region
- Background at high masses

Untagged analysis energy thresholds

KLOE ~350 MeV | BESIII ~800 MeV | BaBar ~3 GeV

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: The Golden Channel







- Largest contributor to both HVP and σ_{HVP}
- KLOE, BaBar, and BESIII ISR measurement ($\delta a_\mu/a_\mu \leq 1\%$)
- Long standing KLOE-BaBar discrepancy
- ISR technique, but different analysis strategy

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: KLOE



Several measurements, result as combination:

- Untagged analysis of 140 pb^{-1} @ m_Φ (KLOE05)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 606 (2005)]
- Untagged analysis of 240 pb^{-1} @ m_Φ (KLOE08)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 670 (2009)]
- Tagged analysis of 250 pb^{-1} @ 1 GeV (KLOE10)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 700 (2011)]
- KLOE08 with normalization to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ (KLOE12)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 720 (2013)]

KLOE





Systematic uncertainties:

- Experimental: 0.6%
  (Background, Tracking, Luminosity)
- Theory: 0.5% ⊕ 0.2%
  (Radiator function, **FSR**)

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: KLOE



Several measurements, result as combination:

- Untagged analysis of 140 pb^{-1} @ m_Φ (KLOE05)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 606 (2005)]
- Untagged analysis of 240 pb^{-1} @ m_Φ (KLOE08)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 670 (2009)]
- Tagged analysis of 250 pb^{-1} @ 1 GeV (KLOE10)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 700 (2011)]
- KLOE08 with normalization to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$ (KLOE12)
  [KLOE Collaboration Phys.Lett.B 720 (2013)]

KLOE





Systematic uncertainties:

- Experimental: 0.7%
  (Background)
- Theory: ~~0.5%~~ ⊕ 0.2%
  (**~~Radiator function~~**, FSR)

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: BaBar



Single measurement: [BaBar Collaboration Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009)]

- Tagged strategy
- 232 fb^{-1} @ $\Upsilon(4S)$
- **Normalization to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$**
- PID for π/μ separation
- Kinematic Fit ($\pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma)$)
- Direct estimate of FSR contribution





$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: BaBar



Single measurement: [BaBar Collaboration Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009)]

- Tagged strategy
- 232 fb^{-1} @ $\Upsilon(4S)$
- Normalization to $e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-$
- PID for π/μ separation
- Kinematic Fit ($\pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma)$)
- **Direct estimate of FSR contribution**



[BaBar Collaboration Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015)]







$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: BESIII



Single measurement: [BESIII Collaboration Phys. Lett. B 753 (2016)]

- Tagged strategy
- 2.9 fb^{-1} @ ψ(3770)
- **Neural network for π/μ separation**
- Kinematic Fit ($\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$)





- Cross check QED prediction ($\mu^+\mu^-$)
  - ➢ Measurement of Γ_{ee} for J/ψ
- Measurement **statistically limited**
- Systematics dominated by radiator function (+ luminosity)

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$ in a Nutshell



[Phys.Rep 887 (2020) 1-166]





	KLOE	BaBar	BESIII
Final result	Combination	Single measurement	
Analysis type	Tag+Untag	Tagged	
π/μ separation	Track mass (kin)	PID	
Kinematic fit	No	$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma(\gamma)$	$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
Accuracy	0.6%	0.7%	1%

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: Perspectives



- Reanalysis of **full dataset** (2x)
- New approach to μμ/ππ/KK separation:
  - Minimal PID conditions (negligible systematics)
  - Fit angular distribution (ϑ^*) in ππ rest frame
- Larger angular and momentum acceptance (8x)
- **Results expected in 2023**







$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$: Perspectives





Agreement at 0.3% level
Statistics limited!

- **Plan to achieve 0.5% accuracy**
- New analysis on going:
  - Several strategies under test
  - Detailed study of 2γ events (ISR@NLO & ISR+FSR)
  - First results in 1-1.5 years
- Data taking @ ψ(3770): 2.9 → 20 fb^{-1} (2024)

$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$: New BaBar Result!



[BaBar Collaboration *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021)





- Full dataset (5x wrt. 2004)
- Fit to VMD model → $B(\rho \to 3\pi) = (0.88 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-4}$
- Up to 10% disagreement with SND/CMD2 results
- Strong reduction of uncertainty to a_μ

$$a_\mu^{3\pi}\,(E < 2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = (45.86 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.58)$$

Summary

- Muon (g-2) is still a compelling subject
- Intriguing tensions:
  - Experiment – SM dispersive (e^+e^- data): 4.2σ
  - Lattice QCD – SM dispersive: 2.1σ
- Crucial contribution from ISR measurement
  - Latest BaBar 3π measurement [BaBar Collaboration *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021)]
- Investigation of possibly overseen source of uncertainties
- New ISR results on 2π channel to come from
  - Reanalysis of "old" data BaBar (2023), BESIII , KLOE?
  - New data BESIII (20 fb^{-1}@ 3.77 GeV by 2024), Belle II

Backup

Experiments Comparison

KLOE @ DAFNE



BESIII @ BEPC II



BaBar @ PEP II



- Experiments at colliders with different energy ranges
  - ~1 GeV (KLOE), 2-5 GeV (BESIII), ~10.5 GeV (BaBar)
- Symmetric vs asymmetric beam collisions
- Large drift chamber in KLOE → No need for unfolding!
- Impact of FSR (at lower masses) proportional to beam energy